

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/975,940	11/21/1997	ALFRED D. COMMINS	SST/816	1225	
:	7590 03/12/2003				
JAMES R CYPHER			EXAMINER		
1607 FINANCIAL CENTER BLDG 405 14TH STREET OAKLAND, CA 94612			MAI, L	MAI, LANNA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	DATE MAIL ED: 03/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		08/975,940	COMMINS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Lanna Mai	3637				
Period fo		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	<u>pril 2002</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	=x parte Quaylo, 1999 O.D. 11, -	100 0.0. 210.				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 36-47 is/are pending in the application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>37-40,43 and 47</u> is/are allowed.						
6)□	6) Claim(s) 36,41,42 and 44-46 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
=	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
· ·	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment		, , ,					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 08/975,940

Art Unit: 3637

٠,

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/19/02 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 36, 41, 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipate by Timmerman, Sr. et al. (6,158,184).

Fig. 1 and 4 show a bottom plate 11 resting on the underlying structural component or foundation 4 (or 124 in fig. 4), foundation anchor 12 (or 204 in fig. 4), vertical studs 114

Application/Control Number: 08/975,940

Art Unit: 3637

and 115, fasteners in the nailplate inherently connecting the studs to the bottom plate; a top plate 110 in fig. 4, nails in the top nailplate connecting the top plate to the vertical studs; a shear-resisting assembly including a planar shear-resisting element 26 (or 532 in fig. 5), a top strut 16 (or 534), a bottom strut 536 in fig. 5, a first chord and second chord 541, nails 544 connecting the top strut, bottom strut, first and second chord to the planar shear-resisting element; means, fasteners such as bolt 537 inherently can be used to connect the shear-resisting element to the top plate 110 of the wall shown in fig. 4; and foundation anchors such as bolts 204 would be used to secure the shear-resisting assembly to the underlying structural component of the building, holdowns 206 (or 6, 8 in fig. 1) and nut/bolts 30, 31 engage the holdowns.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 42, 44, 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Timmerman, Sr. et al.

Timmerman et al. Does not show the threaded holdown fasteners inserted only a selected distance into the first and second chords without passing all the way through the first and second chords. It would have been obvious design choice to have the threaded holdown fasteners inserted a selected distance into the first and second chords without passing all the way through the first and second chords since applicants have not disclosed that inserting the holdown fasteners through the first and second

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/975,940

Art Unit: 3637

chords solves any stated problem and it appears that the structure would perform equally well if the holdown fastener inserted a selected distance into the first and second chords.

As for claim 44, Timmerman et al. does not show the first and second chords being laminated wood members. However, it is well known and common to use laminated wood members instead of solid wood members to cut cost yet maintaining the structural integrity of the building.

For claim 46, to utilize intermediate studs between the top and bottom struts of the shear-resisting element and provide means to connect the intermediate studs to the top/bottom studs and the structural panels would have been a duplication of parts which is an obvious engineering design to improve the shear-resisting property of the structure. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8 (7th Cir. 1977).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 37-40, 43, 47 are allowed.

ŧ

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Lanna Mai at telephone number 703-308-2486. Ms. Mai can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-5:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 08/975,940

Art Unit: 3637

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Lm

3-7-03

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lamona